



Treasonous Lawfare is Gelding the Military

"Lawfare" is defined as the use of legal institutions to achieve leftist ideological objectives. The idea is that by suing into oblivion all the defenders of a nation, including the military and intelligence, the protection provided by those agencies can be stripped away. Lawfare exponents like the ACLU and its allies in the Justice Department, Pentagon, and CIA are busy litigating America's War on Terrorism into a losing debacle. They are supported closely in that effort by leftist traitors within the US federal court system, media, armed forces, academia, and elsewhere.

Pernicious lawfare has already forced American combat forces to abide by self-defeating Rules of Engagement (ROEs). ROEs have already been imposed on every US Military combat unit in Afghanistan and Iraq. ROEs are nothing more than politically correct control by treasonous leftist lawfare agents, which works to convert US combat forces into a no-win configuration by denying them the right to preempt enemy threats. ROEs are rigidly enforced and take several tolls on the troops: 1) The demoralized troops are constantly being arrested for ROEs violations in a mad chaos reminiscent of 17th century flogging; 2) Combat troops are being killed and maimed because ROEs afford numerous advantages to the enemy including the "right" to fire at and kill Americans before

www.quikmaneuvers.com

Americans are allowed to fire; 3) ROEs have proven to be serious suppressors of initiative among combat officers and NCOs. Armed forces, which suppress initiative, invariably lose any wars they fight in.

The leftist-controlled nations of Europe have intensely embraced the concept of leftist attorneys interfering with command decisions by combat generals. In most NATO units, leftist attorneys serve as Soviet-style commissars who can veto combat orders. For example, the NATO bombing campaign in Kosovo suffered massive sabotage inefficiency, due to the fact that NATO lawyers insisted on being involved in every tactical operational decision. (Indeed, this inefficiency may have been one of the reasons the Bush Administration decided that the United States would act alone in Afghanistan.)

Was NATO lawyers' involvement necessary? The generals in charge were intimidated by the fact that lawyer-commissars were primed to sue at the first sign of any military order which they interpreted as being too militaristic. After coalition forces bombed the state-run Serbian television station in Belgrade in April 1999, the incident was the subject of a review by the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia and the European Court of Human Rights. Many timid NATO generals were shaking in their boots in fear of their lawfare commissars.

When the US bombing campaign in Afghanistan began in October 2001, international lawyers associated with so-called human rights organizations sought to limit the operation by complaining about collateral damage.

In the early days of the Iraq War, there were reports of Westerners being forced to serve as human shields -- an effort presumably designed to transform military operations into violations of the Geneva Convention and the Law of Armed Conflict (LOAC). Since the Muslims were the transgressors in this instance, the biased lawfare commissars ignored the situation.

Currently, American lawyers are involved in litigation against the United States government for President Clinton's decision in 1998 to bomb a Sudanese pharmaceutical factory believed to be associated with Al Qaeda. A law should be passed in America which requires that all lawfare litigation take place in foreign lands before foreign courts where the war crimes supposedly occurred. No American officials will be required to appear in those foreign courts. All courtroom activity will have to take place in-absentia. If America's generals and defense and intelligence officials are not made immune to ACLU and other lawfare litigation, America's defense and intelligence establishments will be totally destroyed.

Sponsored by: The Institute for Combating Muslim/Leftist Imperialism
www.quikmaneuvers.com

Lawyers are treasonous saboteurs in counter-terrorism and, for the sake of expedience morality, and national survival as a free country, American national security operators should oppose their participation.

Consider a particular counter-terrorism scenario: US personnel succeed in capturing a terrorist alive. Does - and should -- he fall within military or civilian jurisdiction?

A major grotesque mistake of the 1990s and the 21st century was to treat international terrorism as "merely" a law enforcement matter, and thus to largely ignore the threat of al Qaeda, despite what amounted to its declaration of war against the United States. Treasonous American attorneys seek to clog the already overworked American court system with numerous show-trials used as platforms to present the muslim propoganda supporting international terrorism. Such show-trials would be "set ups" costing the US taxpayers billions of dollars to provide free publicity to muslim terrorists, as well as depict apologetic and fawning leftist judges and attorneys kowtowing to muslim imperialism. In this way, the leftist would be provided a dramatic venue to model politically correct behavior.

During the Clinton administration, US armed forces and 14 intelligence agencies were first systematically targeted by groups of treasonous leftist lawyers. That assault, led by the ACLU has resulted in a string of victories for America's domestic traitors.

The ACLU is the same group that defends pedophiles in the North American Man-Boy Love Association, yet sues US service members engaged in a war to defend America from muslim tyranny.

The latest outrage from the "America-does-nothing-right" crowd is a series of lawsuits against (former) Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, Lt. Gen. Ricardo Sanchez, Brig. Gen. Janis Karpinski, and Col. Thomas Pappas for daring to actually try to defeat muslim terrorists. A recent news release, which the ACLU used to announce the lawsuits, should have caused an immediate series of riots at ACLU offices. Where are the patriotic Americans demonstrating against the serious negative impact that the ACLU is already having on military operations. Ironically, American taxpayers are funding the ACLU.

Among the alleged abuses committed by our troops against the "peace-loving" terrorist prisoners is "degrading treatment" and "restraint in contorted and excruciating positions." It would be easy to make a comparison to Western hostages who have been beheaded by Islamic terrorists. The treasonous saboteurs of the best interests of America proclaim that America should be held to a higher standard than America's enemies. The racist and cultural bigotry reflected in that admonition is

a gross violation of the very political correctness honoring foreign cultures, which the leftist instigators advocate so fervently. In other words, they are two-faced cretins.

These leftist anti-military and anti-intelligence lawsuits represent the latest salvo in a pattern of "lawfare" engaged by the ACLU and fellow litigant Human Rights First. These groups, along with the Center for Constitutional Rights and Veterans for Peace, have also exploited the Freedom Of Information Act by requiring the Pentagon to release documents to the tune of 15,000 pages per month. Many of those documents give aid and comfort to the enemies of America, and American taxpayers bereft of the massive funding of leftist lawfare organizations are denied access to those same documents. All of those documents must be gathered and reviewed by intelligence personnel and other troops before public release. In that way, the leftists increase the cost of American defense in yet another way. Don't those intelligence specialists have anything better to do, like maybe prevent the next 9-11 or keep our troops safe in Iraq and Afghanistan?

"The effects of Rumsfeld's policies have been devastating both to America's international reputation as a beacon of freedom and democracy, and to the hundreds, even thousands, of individuals who have suffered at the hands of U.S. forces," said ACLU Executive Director Anthony D. Romero. Where is the righteous indignation at the arrogant leftist traitor who can make such statements and then enforce their implications with litigations paid for by American taxpayers?

ACLU control of American Armed Forces is unbelievable. Already they have forced the Department of Defense to enact policy that forbids requiring a prisoner to stand for more than four hours straight. Under this more-than-generous rule, nearly every waiter and waitress in the United States could claim, "abuse through stress positions." War zones are dangerous places and people do get hurt. A terrorist prisoner bumping his head while being handcuffed and put in a humvee should hardly be considered abuse, yet according to some, it's a war crime.

Many war critics claim that the terrorist prisoners are either entitled to due process in US courts or Geneva Convention protections. How are foreign terrorists who have never set foot in the United States being deprived of their "US constitutional rights." Why do we left the ruling leftist elite aromatically grant all the rights of American citizenship to America's enemies? Yet the left is micromanaging military operations by judicial fiat at the instigation of the ACLU and other radical organizations. It is time to start stringing up the leftist traitors!

As the Society for Professional Journalists correctly states, "Combatants who deliberately violate the rules about maintaining a clear separation between combatant and noncombatant groups – and thus endanger the civilian population – are no longer protected by the Geneva Convention."

Sponsored by: The Institute for Combating Muslim/Leftist Imperialism
www.quikmaneuvers.com

Despite this, the US military has given Geneva Convention protections to Iraqi soldiers who met the criteria. Unfortunately, most of those who are released as a result of ACLU pressure return to fight our soldiers again.

If there are any sane and brave men left in the world, we must destroy the ACLU instead of continuing to whine about it.

Breaker McCoy