



Agitprop

***Agitprop (agitation-propaganda)
was created by the Soviets as an instrument
of protracted political war against the people.
Today we still fight the agents of agitprop.***



Robbing Taxpayers to Strengthen Enemies: Nation Building

Running for office in 2000, George W. Bush promised no nation building. "I don't think our troops ought to be used for what's called nation-building. I think our troops ought to be used to fight and win war." — George W. Bush, October 11, 2000.

Bush summed up his policy on 9/2001: "We're not into nation building. We're focused on justice." Later in 2001, the president hinted at a deviation from seeking victory over the enemy in Iraq as he said: "We are in Iraq because our goal has always been ... to leave a free and democratic Iraq..."

In 2003, the liar Bush ("read my lips", runs in the family) admitted his real goal: "Rebuilding Iraq will require a sustained commitment from many nations, including our own: we will remain in Iraq as long as necessary, and not a day more." — George W. Bush, February 26, 2003

Bush lied about nation building. He lied about not using the military as nation building managers and janitors, and he lied about fighting the war on terrorism to defeat the muslim terrorist enemy. That leftist Judas goat will soon be out of office. Thank God.

However, even worst leftist forces are about to assume power. They say, "the price of victory is nation building." They favor policies designed to enslave American taxpayers. Supposedly, the left will save the world for Marxism by requiring the US to patrol every back alley in creation, replacing wicked non-leftist governments and dispensing wads of our hard-earned cash, until the whole globe is so fat, happy and sane that no one would ever want to do evil again. (Of course the fact is that such an effort will destroy the American middleclass standard of living, while making democrats and other leftist fat cats richer than ever.) Even America does not have the powers of God, or the economic power, to make that kind of world salvation project work. Besides, any world traveler with any sense will tell you that many of the cultures so in need if handouts are better off disappearing. If they are reinforced, they will create another tyrannical world in their ugly image. The Islamic world, for example, is a horrible place of murder and inhumanity because the savages, who live there, think like that.

The very influential leftist firm, Rand, that makes all its money from selling ideas to the US government, has always been pimping for nation building. "This administration came in not only opposed to involvement in peacekeeping and engaging US forces in these activities, but also skeptical even if other people were doing them," crows Mr. Dobbins, now director of the Rand Corp.'s International Security and Defense Policy Center in Arlington, Va. "Now they recognize these kinds of missions are unavoidable, so they are putting a new emphasis on developing more effective and rapid-response ways of doing them."

The Marxist US State Department has always been a prime advocate of nation building along with the corrupt UN. Noting that Secretary Condo Rice often speaks of "transformational diplomacy," the new undersecretary for political affairs, Nicholas

Burns, told an audience that there is "no better example of what she means by that than (the State Department's) office for reconstruction and stabilization" (nation building).

Leftist democrats want to bankrupt America's middle class by increasing their taxes to pay for world nation building. The leftist chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Joseph Biden (D-Del.), in 2007 says that an American-led nation building effort in Central Asia offers a long-term solution to the terrorism problem. He feels this effort should focus on changing the economic and social climate of Afghanistan and its neighbors by offering something akin to the Marshall Plan's reconstruction of Europe. Biden has always been an eminence grise and a threat to American freedom. In a similar vein, the leftist Washington D.C.-based Worldwatch Institute is proposing a new global Marshall Plan to provide everyone on earth with a basic standard of living paid for by US taxpayers. Isn't it ironic that all the schizoidly crazed leftist groups are pulling together, while the millions of hard working taxpayers, the backbone of the nation, mill around like sheep waiting for the slaughter.

Since World War II the United States alone has provided \$1 trillion in foreign aid to various countries. According to the United Nations, 70 of the countries that received aid were poorer in 1997 than they were in 1980, while an incredible 43 were worse off than in 1970.

Nation Building - Not A Tool To Prevent Terrorism

Those arguing against using nation building to prevent terrorism point out several false assumptions about the root causes of terrorism. One assumption is that poverty and the existence of "failed states" breed terrorism. If that were true, sub-Saharan Africa would be the principal incubator of terrorism since that region is littered with chronically misgoverned failed states, inhabited by desperately poor people. Yet sub-Saharan Africa is relatively quiet, whereas the more prosperous states of the Persian Gulf region have produced the greatest number of terrorists. No evidence specifically links poverty and

ignorance to the present terrorist challenge faced by the United States. The hijackers who flew fully fueled jetliners into the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11 were well educated and financially well off.

Osama bin Laden is a multimillionaire. Moreover, it should be noted Osama bin Laden has never claimed that he acts on behalf of the poor and the illiterate, or that his goal is to redress the disparities between rich and poor countries. He uses extremist religious rhetoric as a tool to eliminate opposition and gain power. (Why doesn't he and others like him "rebuild" the Islamic infrastructure?)

Poverty can exist without terrorism, as it did during the Great Depression. Terrorism can thrive without poverty. Left-wing terrorists, such as the German Baader-Meinhoff gang and the Italian Red Brigade during the 1970s and 1980s, were overwhelmingly upper class. Likewise, 15 of the 19 September 11 muslim hijackers (mass murderers) were from Saudi Arabia, an exceptionally rich country. If the assumption that poverty and ignorance cause terrorism were correct, Saudi Arabians would be among the most peaceful people on earth. Instead, Saudi Arabia is a fertile breeding ground for terrorists and broils in constant turmoil.

Recent research suggests easy access to cash is one predictor of political violence. After analyzing 47 different civil conflicts between 1965 and 1999, World Bank economist Paul Collier found that rebellion and civil war occur largely in countries with lootable sources of cash; such as diamonds in Angola, cocaine in Columbia, and timber in Cambodia. "The economic theory of conflict," explains Collier, "argues that the motivation of conflict is unimportant; what matters is whether the organization can sustain itself financially... It can only fight if it is financially viable during the conflict." "Indeed," says Collier, "if anything, rebellion seems to be the rage of the rich." (America's left is a machine of the rich and so is the leftist democrat party.) According to that view of conflict, generous foreign aid and nation building could create tempting new targets for looting, which in turn could feed the cycle of violence as it did in Somalia in 1992-93.

A second assumption is that "only a prolonged US military presence and Washington's firm backing for a powerful central government can prevent chaos and extremism." In the case of Afghanistan, its recent troubles began when "other countries meddled and attempted to prop-up a friendly central government." The Soviet Union in the 1970s and 1980s, and Pakistan in the 1990s sought to install and maintain friendly regimes in Afghanistan. Without the meddling of muslim Pakistan, the Taliban would never have come to power in Afghanistan and the country would not have become a haven for al Qaeda.

If other countries grant Afghanistan true self-governance, "it will probably revert to its traditional form of governance - a highly decentralized system with a nominal central government, with most power held by tribal leaders and regional warlords." It may not be either efficient or democratic by Western standards, but it served the Afghan people reasonably well for decades before the Soviet Union or Pakistan interfered. "Afghans give their primary allegiance to local leaders, their tribes and ethnic groups. All of its [Afghanistan's] borders were in effect determined by the British Empire and reflected not an internal historical or ethnic logic, but an imperial one."

If poverty and ignorance are not the real root causes of terrorism, then foreign aid cannot be seriously considered the cure. Further, this "root causes" (poverty and ignorance) approach assumes the United States and its allies are in a position to alleviate poverty and ignorance around the globe. America is not the world's sugar daddy. However, the left is welcomed to use their own money for that purpose, and end their policy of robbing the middle class to fund lazy international parasites. Perhaps, if we bankrupted the rich cliques that maintain international leftist power, a true utopia of freedom would spread across the earth.

Breaker McCoy