



Agitprop

***Agitprop (agitation-propaganda)
was created by the Soviets as an instrument
of protracted political war against the people.
Today we still fight the agents of agitprop.***



Press Acquiesces to Messiah Obama Stonewalling

The hue and cry from the media for disclosure usually forces candidates to release sought after documents. But the press has largely acquiesced to Obama's stonewalling.

Machiavelli advanced the accurate observation that deception may provide the weak with their only means to obtain success. "...Nor do I believe that there was ever a man from obscure condition arrived at great power by merely employing open force, but there are many who succeeded by fraud alone..."

The following is an excerpt from a typical semi-literate journalist (Politico.com). Yet, that journalist is to be praised because he/she was courageous enough to report the facts. Grammar can be learned, ideological courage cannot.

Obama, just days away from possibly being elected president, continues to stonewall a growing chorus of information requests for documents about his legislative, personal health, education, financing, and background -- leaving many voters to cast ballots based on incomplete information.

And serious questions about his past continue to swirl as Election Day looms, fueled in part by his own campaign's refusal to make relevant documents available.

And the press, usually banging at the door for candidates to make “full disclosure” is strangely quiet about Obama’s stonewalling.



US press cliques and leftist political gangsters are blatantly broadcasting their ideological commitment in the 2008 election. They all agree that Obama, like all tyrants, must be depicted from the beginning as a messiah; 'The One'. It only takes a small bit of brainpower to interpret the broad thread of Obama and his denizens' real motive. The picture to the right exemplifies that motive.

Key Aspects About Obama Shielded from the Public

A Newsmax survey of key Obama aspects of Obama’s public and private life continued to be shielded from the public.

Among the examples:

- Obama has released just one brief document detailing his personal health. McCain, on the other hands, released what he said was his complete medical file totaling more than 1500 pages. After criticism on the matter, last week the Obama campaign also released some routine lab-test results and electrocardiograms for Obama. All test results appeared normal, but many details about his health remain a mystery.
- Obama has refused to offer his official papers as a state legislator in Illinois, and has been unable to produce correspondence, such as letters from lobbyists and other correspondence from his days in the Illinois state senate. There are also no appointment calendars available of his official activities. “It could have been thrown out,” Obama said while on the campaign trail during the Democratic primary. “I haven’t been in the state Senate now for quite some time.”
- Obama has not released his client list as an attorney or his billing records. Obama has maintained that he only performed a few hours of legal work for a nonprofit organization with ties to Tony Rezko, the Chicago businessman convicted of fraud in June. But he has not released billing records that would prove this assertion.
- Obama won’t release his college records from Occidental College where he studied for two years before transferring to Columbia.

- Obama's campaign refuses to give Columbia University, where he earned an undergraduate degree in political science, permission to release his transcripts. Such transcripts would list the courses Obama took, and his grades. President George W. Bush, and presidential contenders Al Gore and John Kerry, all released their college transcripts. (McCain has refused to release his Naval Academy transcript.)
- Obama's college dissertation has simply disappeared from Columbia University's archives. In July, in response to a flurry of requests to review Obama's senior thesis at the Ivy League school, reportedly titled "Soviet Nuclear Disarmament," Obama spokesman Ben LaBolt told NBC News "We do not have a copy of the course paper you requested and neither does Columbia University."
- The senator has not agreed to the release of his application to the Illinois state bar, which would clear up intermittent allegations that his application to the bar may have been inaccurate.
- Jim Geraghty of the National Review has written extensively about Obama's unwillingness to release records related to clients he represented while he was an attorney with the Chicago law firm of Davis, Miner, Barnhill, and Gallard. Obama was required to list his clients during his years in the Illinois senate. "Obama listed every client of the firm," Geraghty reported, making it impossible to discern which clients he represented.
- Obama has never released records from his time at Harvard Law School.
- Obama also has not disclosed the names of small donors giving \$200 or less to his campaign. An exception to the finance-reporting laws exempts the campaign from reporting those who donate less than \$200, but that law never envisioned the more than \$300 million that has been raised by Obama in small amounts. The Republican National Committee has released its small donors, as well as McCain's, on a public database.

On several occasions, the Obama campaign has offered to provide additional information to reporters if they have specific questions or issues. In some cases, it has done so. [... **or has it?**]

When Internet rumors began to fly that perhaps Obama was born outside the United States, for example, the campaign released images of a birth certificate that verified his birthplace as Honolulu, Hawaii. When that led to suggestions the birth certificate had been altered, the campaign again responded, allowing reporters to examine the actual birth certificate, complete with raised seal. (In late July, according to FactCheck.org, a researcher uncovered an announcement of Obama's birth in the August 13, 1961 edition of the Honolulu Advertiser).

Such instances of cooperation pale, however, compared to the many unanswered questions surrounding Obama, such as the financing of his education, and requests for the complete release of all donors to his campaign.

Of course, candidates are often reticent to disclose any information that opposition researchers could use against them.

But Politico.com notes that the Obama's failure to share documents is "part of his campaign's broader pattern of rarely volunteering information or documents about the candidate, even when relatively innocuous."

A Federal Court Case has been filed against Obama and his cronies, by a former governor of Pennsylvania (Democrat), which demanded he show his birth certificate and prove where he was born – to verify that he was in fact eligible to even become president. The lawsuit revealed that Obama is a citizen of both Kenya and Indonesia where he is registered as a Muslim; but he is not a citizen of the US, either naturalized or by birthright. Obama and his cronies have stalled the case, hopefully until the election is over. However, the judge in the case ruled that Obama must show his real birth certificate. Obama refused, and is now in contempt of court. But the media does not even mention it. Has Chairman Obama overturned our judicial system too?

The hue and cry from the media for disclosure usually forces candidates to release sought after documents. But the press has largely acquiesced to Obama's stonewalling.

US Media Refuses to Investigate Obama

The media are acting as if they completely and fully vetted Obama during the Democratic primaries, and that's why they are entitled to send teams of researchers into Alaska to analyze Sarah Palin's every expense report. They refuse to even mention the fact that Obama is not a US citizen. In fact, the mainstream media did no vetting. They seem to have all agreed, "OK, none of us will get into this business with Jeremiah Wright, 'Tony' Rezko, Saul Alinsky, Bill Ayers and everyone's impression of an angry Michelle Obama on 'The Jerry Springer Show.'"

During one of the Democratic primary debates, Hillary Clinton was hissed for mentioning Syrian national Rezko, and during another, ABC moderator George Stephanopoulos nearly lost his career for asking Obama one question about William Ayers. In recent weeks, TV anchors have taken to claiming that Obama "refuted" John McCain's statement that Obama launched his political career at the home of former Weather Underground leader Ayers. No, Obama "denied" it; he didn't "refute" it. If "denying" something is the same as "refuting" it, then maybe the establishment media can quit harping on Palin's supposed lack of qualifications to be president, since she too "refuted" that by denying it.

Back before the media realized it needed to lie about Obama launching his political career at Ayers' house, the Los Angeles Times provided an eyewitness account from a liberal

who attended the event. "When I first met Barack Obama, he was giving a standard, innocuous little talk in the living room of those two legends-in-their-own-minds, Bill Ayers and Bernardine Dohrn. They were launching him -- introducing him to the Hyde Park community as the best thing since sliced bread." The Times has now stripped this item from its Web page, but the blogger Patterico has preserved it for posterity on his Web page.

Obama's glib remark that "Bill Ayers is a professor of education in Chicago; 40 years ago when I was 8 years old he engaged in despicable acts with a domestic group. I have roundly denounced those attacks" -- doesn't answer anything.

First of all, the fact that Ayers is a professor of education proves only one thing: He is dumber than any person without an education degree (according to research conducted during the past 30 years, personnel in University Education Departments always score at the lowest in intelligence tests and tests of general knowledge when compared to other University departments such as Psychology or Physics). Ayers is such an imbecile, we ought to be amazed that he's teaching at a university -- even when you consider that it's an ed school -- except all former violent radicals end up teaching. Roughly 80 percent of former Weathermen are full college professors -- 99 percent if you don't include the ones killed in shoot-outs with the police or in prison -- i.e., not yet pardoned by a Democratic president.

Any other profession would have banned a person like Ayers.

But Obama thinks it's a selling point to say that Ayers is a college professor. Hundreds of (Marxist) college professors have signed a letter vouching for Ayers, which would be like Joe Biden producing a letter from Hugo Chavez assuring us that Biden is a respected member of the community and is definitely not a Marxist.

The media keep citing the fact that the money Obama and Ayers distributed to idiotic left-wing causes came -- as The New York Times put it -- "from Walter H. Annenberg, the billionaire publisher and philanthropist and President Richard M. Nixon's ambassador to the United Kingdom." Walter Annenberg died in 2002. The money came from the Annenberg Foundation, which, like all foundations, distributes money to projects that its founder would despise. John Kerry ran for president on the late John Heinz's money. That didn't mean Republican Heinz was endorsing Kerry.

Left-wing radicals swarm to free foundation money, where they can give gigantic grants to one another and they will never have to do a day's work. That's exactly what Obama and Ayers did with Annenberg's money. None of the Annenberg money went to schoolchildren. It

went to Ayers' left-wing crank friends to write moronic papers that we hope no one ever reads. Instead of teaching students reading and writing, Ayers thinks they should be taught to rebel against America's "imperialist" social structure. In 2006, Ayers was in Venezuela praising communist dictator Hugo Chavez, saying, "We share the belief that education is the motor-force of revolution." He has backed a line of schoolbooks such as one titled "Teaching Science for Social Justice." Forget about Ayers' domestic terrorism when Obama "was 8 years old." Does he agree with Ayers' idiot ideas right now?

Obama will not lose his bid for the presidency because of his connections to Ayers, ACORN or socialist politics. In fact, he won't lose it because of his stand on any issue. The coup de grace for Obama's presidential election downfall will come only through convincing the American public that Obama the Messiah is Chairman Obama, the Marxist tyrant in disguise. The problem with such reasoning is that is they were stupid enough to perceive Obama as a Messiah; they will be too stupid to reinterpret Obama.

The weak minded and foolish claim that indecisiveness is Obama's greatest weakness, and it's one this country cannot afford at this time in its history. Interestingly, a while back, the Unit for the Study of Personality in Politics, at St. John's University and the College of St. Benedict, did a professional personality profile "for anticipating Obama's likely leadership style as chief executive, thereby providing a basis for inferring the character and tenor of a prospective Obama presidency."

The study concluded:

"The combination of Ambitious, Accommodating, and Outgoing patterns in Obama's profile suggests a confident conciliator personality composite. Leaders with this personality prototype, though self-assured and ambitious, are characteristically gracious, considerate, and benevolent. They are energetic, charming, and agreeable, with a special knack for settling differences, favoring mediation and compromise over force or coercion as a strategy for resolving conflict. They are driven primarily by a need for achievement and also have strong affiliation needs, but a low need for power."

What the Unit for the Study of Personality in Politics, at St. John's University and the College of St. Benedict are really saying about their interpretation of Obama:

The study proves that Obama is a ruthless charlatan who would do anything to gain control of America. Whatever behavior he emits before crowds is a choreographed persona calculated to ingratiate himself with those most susceptible to the techniques of influence now practiced by black liberation theology preachers. This study further proves that St. John's University and the College of St. Benedict is as dumb as the blue collar people we look down on. We swallowed the below-average act put on by Obama. The study is meaningless.

Agendas and Flaws Revealed – No One Questions the Implications

The pro-Obama media and leftist blogs are already attacking any who reveal that Obama has a radical plan to give driver's licenses to illegal aliens. They know voters will not want to hear about Obama's radical plan to give driver's licenses to illegals. **The 9/11 terrorists began their plot by getting driver's licenses.** Obama's plan makes it easy for terrorists to get licenses.

While some might laud Obama's personality as a needed polar opposite to George W. Bush's, the truth is that Obama's "accommodating-conciliator-favoring-compromise" personality pendulum swing is an absolutely phony. Obama is masking the demonic heart of a terrorist and dictator in "Rastus" clothing. He is way too far to the left. Even Obama's voting record proves that. His own Democratic colleagues have a difficult time understanding why, when he was an Illinois state senator, he voted "present" (instead of "yes" or "no") 129 times, including a number of noncommittal tallies on issues such as gun rights and abortion.

Obama's inability to draw and hold hard lines is the primary reason he repeatedly struggles with -- and caves and morphs into -- the polls or people in front of him. More than any other politician in history, he has flip-flopped on a host of critical issues: Iraq, Iran, gay rights, NAFTA, abortion, race, religion, gun control, etc. It's one thing to be political, but it's quite another to be a chronic people pleaser under pressure. Swaying based on political expediency is not a leadership quality we need in tough times. Sooner or later, that character flaw will bite Obama big-time -- and us if we elect him president.

Is Obama crisis-leadership qualified?

Will he truly be ready Jan. 20 to assume the helm of our country?

Actually, those leadership questions have been answered already by three leading Democrats (before they could taste the perks from their alignment with the Democratic presidential nominee). Obama's own running mate, Sen. Joe Biden, replied only months ago about whether Obama is ready for the presidency: "Right now I don't believe he is. The presidency is not something that lends itself to on-the-job training." Then he later told George Stephanopoulos, "I stand by the statement." Biden was right.

Before Obama was her party's choice, Hillary Clinton repeatedly proved him to be an indecisive waffler who couldn't or wouldn't be pinned down on any issues. Hillary was right.

Even former President Bill Clinton dodged having to give an affirmative answer to an ABC correspondent when asked whether Obama is ready to be president by saying, "You can

argue that no one is ready to be president." Another smooth answer, Bill. The fact is he understands that Obama is a real danger to the nation, but he thinks that his wife and he will be elected after the first Obama term. He doesn't understand that the Democrat Party has moved from 'soft' terror gansterism to the 'hard' terror of the Marxist polemic.

America is in one of its toughest hours -- a market meltdown, the worst fiscal environment since the Great Depression -- an economic 9/11. Do we really believe we can be delivered by an indecisive people pleaser, outright Marxist, and covert Stalinist 'wannbe' as our country's CEO?

Our Future

Press acquiesces to extreme stonewalling is the first sign of the onset a Stalinist era here in America. If Obama is elected, there will be pictures of him everywhere. Leftist students with little red books of quotes of Chairman Obama will be marching in the streets. The media will be in the throes of the paroxysm of messiah worship, as they daily croon about the beauty and infallibility of 'The One'. So-called legislation will be passed to criminalize all dissent. In fact, there is one on the books right now that will legally outlaw all non-leftist talk radio and television presentation. The Marxist democrats conceived that bill even before 'The One', chief Hussein Obama, approved it.

What we can we say to warn the ignorant masses? We know that they will not be able to see the truth until Obama posses are in their face and intimidating them, and some timid sole later mentions, "Damn, that's the same thing as Stalin's toadies, thugs, and secret police." When they finally do realize that we are captives in a monster police state, which is the epicenter of a world embracing Marxist, where will they go for help?

Breaker McCoy