




Forlorn Hope

During the Napoleonic era, the British Army stormed many fortresses. Always leading the doomed charge into the breach was a unit known as the Forlorn Hope. Modern conventional troops are treated as a Forlorn Hope. They are sacrificed on the altar of political correctness. Beware the revenge of the crusaders.



Simple Soldiers vs. Sophisticated Fanatics

How Can Simple soldiers "win the hearts and minds" of communist fanatics reared on such sinister tactics as Entryism?

Following is an extract from a communist document, which gives context to the word entryism. Although the document alludes to entryism in Great Britain, it means the same thing for the USA. In fact, communist entryism, stolen from Trotsky, is victorious within the USA to a greater extent than in England because the English leaders are usually far more sophisticated in the ways of revolutionary warfare.

“Permit me to begin, Comrade General Secretary, with the briefest description of the background of the British Labour Party and of its steady penetration and successful eventual domination by the Hard Left over the past fourteen years.

The Party was originally founded by the trade union movement as the political arm of the recently organized British working class. From the outset it espoused the cause of moderate bourgeois socialism—of reform rather than revolution. The home of the true Marxist-Leninist was then in the Communist Party.

Even though the bedrock of Marxism-Leninism in Britain has always been in the trade union movement, true believers were excluded from the Labour Party itself. From

the 1930s onward, a few of our pro-Soviet Hard Left friends in Britain managed to infiltrate the Party by subterfuge, but they had, once inside it, to maintain an extremely low profile. Other friends of Moscow, perceived as they sought to enter the Labour Party, were refused admission or, if spotted inside the Party, were expelled.

The reason our true friends in Britain were for so many years excluded from the mass-support Labour Party can be described in two words: “proscribed list.” This was a list of banned organizations; it prohibited all fraternal contact between the Labour Party and those much smaller groups inhabited by the true revolutionary socialists—that is, the Marxist-Leninists. Further, no member of a Hard Left group was permitted membership in the Labour Party under the terms of the proscribed list, which were staunchly maintained by successive Labour Party leaders for fifty years.

As the Labour Party was the only mass-support party of the Left with a hope of acceding to government of Britain, infiltration and domination of it by our friends, following the classic Leninist teaching of “entryism,” was for all those years an elusive dream. Nevertheless, our friends within the Party, few though they were, worked tirelessly and covertly; in 1973 their efforts were finally crowned with success. In that year, when the Party was under the weak and vacillating leadership of Harold Wilson, they achieved a wafer-thin majority on the all-important Party National Executive Committee, and used it to pass a resolution abolishing the proscribed list. The outcome was beyond their dreams. With the floodgates open, shoals of Hard Left young activists of the post-1945 generation swarmed into the Labour Party and were at once able to offer themselves for office at every level of the Party organization. The road to **entryism**, influence, and eventual takeover was open, and that takeover has now been achieved.

Since 1973 the absolutely vital National Executive Committee has seldom been out of the hands of a Hard Left majority, and it has been through the skillful use of this tool that the constitution of the Party and its composition at the higher levels have been changed out of all recognition.

A brief word of digression, Comrade General Secretary, to explain precisely whom I mean by “our friends” within the British Labour Party and trade union movement. They fall into two categories: the deliberate and the unwilling. With the first category I am referring to people not of the so-called Soft Left or of the Trotskyite aberration, both of whom abhor Moscow, albeit for different reasons. I refer to those of the Hard Left with, at their core, the Ultra-Hard Left. These are dedicated, dyed-in-the-wool Marxist-Leninists, who would not appreciate being called Communists since this implies

membership in the quite useless British communist Party. They are, nevertheless, staunch friends of Moscow and in nine cases out of ten will act in accordance with Moscow's wishes, even though those wishes may remain unexpressed and even though the person concerned would stoutly claim he was acting for "conscientious" or "British" reasons.

The second group of friends inside, and now dominating, the British Labour Party can be characterized as follows: those persons with a deep political and emotional commitment to a form of socialism so far left as to qualify as Marxism-Leninism; persons who will, in any given set of circumstances or in any contingency, almost invariably react quite spontaneously in a manner completely parallel to, or convergent with, the desires of Soviet foreign policy vis-à-vis Britain and/or the Western Alliance; persons who need no briefing or instructions whatsoever, and who would probably be offended if such were proposed; persons who, wittingly or unwittingly, whether impelled by personal conviction, a warped patriotism, a desire to destroy, a craving for self-advancement, a fear of intimidatory pressure, a sense of their own self-importance, or a desire to move with the herd, will conduct themselves in a manner that suits our Soviet interests perfectly. They all constitute **agents of influence** to our benefit. They all, of course, claim to be seekers after democracy. Happily, the overwhelming majority of Britishers today still understand by the word *democracy* a pluralist (multiparty) state, whose governing body shall be chosen at periodic intervals by universal adult suffrage based upon the secret ballot.

Obviously, our Hard Left friends over there, being people who eat, drink, breathe, sleep, dream, and work at left-wing politics every waking hour of every day, mean by the word *democracy* a "democracy of the committed," with its controlling roles performed by themselves and like-thinkers. Fortunately, the British press takes few steps to correct this misapprehension..."

Entryism Leads to Terrorism

Entryism is always connected with 'soft' terrorism and followed by 'hard' terrorism. Democratic Vice Presidential candidate, Joe Biden, is an example of a 'soft' terrorist, who could become a 'hard' terrorist in a New York minute. His psychopathic tendencies towards violent suppression of opposition, which directly contradict any pretense at favoring free government and free speech, was exemplified in October 2008 when he threatened to withhold interviews from any media that might ask embarrassing questions. That is 'soft' terrorism. His

'hard' terrorism could begin whenever he gets his hands on the levers of power. Psychopathic terrorists have no sense of fair play, freedom of speech, or any of the niceties of civilization. Such people will mass-murder entire populations without blinking an eye. They cannot be rehabilitated either. As their tin god, Joe Stalin, repeated many times, "The only way to deal with the opposition is mass liquidation." Terrorists such as Stalin, Lenin, Trotsky, Guevara, Marighella and others, left a violence legacy that, in the name of the masses, causes shaking in the world, because the world knows that there is no compromise with them; there is only death or submission.



Trotsky Punishing Subordinates

Trotsky, innovator of the Entryism that brought Marxism and black racism into control of America, loved to publicly whip naked female asses. The above picture is used by the new 'Students for a Democratic Society' (Marxist) and other communist groups. However, when they use the picture, they cut out the right side, which depicts two sisters being beaten by Trotsky. Their legend on the doctored photo usually says: "Trotsky Practicing Agitprop." They usually erase the whip in Trotsky's hand too.

The Bankruptcy of "Winning Hearts and Minds"

The control of masses of people by terror illustrates the bankruptcy of the leftist anti-terror sabotage principal of "winning hearts and minds." That winning of submission to government political correctness has worked in America by the use of conditioning and psychological terror. The invention of international and transnational terrorism has resulted in numerous groups of revenge and hatred, in countries of the third world, ideological

mercenaries, that have lent their experience, knowledge, and armaments to their political and ideological allies, anywhere in the world.

Most of the terrorist organizations differ from one other by ideology. However, Leftist /Marxist and/or Islamic terror is the norm in all successful population control, everywhere in the world. The least successful and most dogmatic attempt to control masses is the US leftist concept of “winning hearts and minds.” Trillions of dollars in bribes, handouts and “nation building” for America’s enemies continues as a colossal failure to this day.

Breaker McCoy